

CITATION: Parker, David v. Blackberry Limited, 2018, ONSC 3896
COURT FILE NO.: 17-71659(Ottawa)
DATE: 2018/06/25

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE - ONTARIO

RE: David Parker, Plaintiff

AND

Blackberry Limited, Defendant

Proceeding Under the Class Proceedings Act, 1992

BEFORE: The Honourable Justice M.Z. Charbonneau

COUNSEL: J. Payne, A. Reinholdt and K. Dion - Counsel for the Plaintiff
A. Sternberg and R. Lax - Counsel for Defendant

ADDITIONAL ENDORSEMENT

[1] On June 12, 2018 I released an endorsement ordering the defendant to answer questions the defendant had earlier refused to answer on cross-examination.

[2] Counsel have written for further clarification and for my decision on several questions I had omitted to address. I answer counsel as follows:

Under: "The Agreement" the questions ordered to be answered are 12 and 79.
In relation to question 12 only the personnel, premises and asset agreement is relevant at this stage.

The following which were not answered are now answered as follows:

Questions 9, 17, 68, 69 and 89: Although privilege has not been established by the defendant I find the questions are not relevant, unnecessary or too onerous and need not be answered at this stage of the proceedings.



The Honourable Justice M.Z. Charbonneau

Released: June 25, 2018

CITATION: Parker, David v. Blackberry Limited, 2018, ONSC 3896
COURT FILE NO.: 17-71659(Ottawa)

ONTARIO

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

RE: David Parker, Plaintiff

AND

Blackberry Limited, Defendant

*Proceeding Under the Class
Proceedings Act, 1992*

BEFORE: The Honourable Justice M.Z.
Charbonneau

COUNSEL: J. Payne, A. Reinholdt and K. Dion -
Counsel for the Plaintiff
A. Sternberg and R. Lax - Counsel for
Defendant

ADDITIONAL ENDORSEMENT

Charbonneau, J.

Released: June 25, 2018